IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

PROVO RESERVOIR COMPANY, a corporation

Plaintiff.

-VS-

00

PROVO CITY, a municipal corporation et al. and

WASATCH IRRIGATION COMPANY, a corporation, THOMAS MOULTON, JOHN F. OHLWILER, WADKIN BRIRLEY, all as officers, agents, servants, and employees and persons acting for and in behalf of said Wasatch Irrigation Company, a corporation, defendant, and T. F. WENTZ, Water Commissioner for the above entitled Court.

Defendants.

No. 2388 Civil

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE
PUNISHED FOR CONTEMPT
AND WHY COMMISSIONER
SHOULD NOT ACT IN ACCORDE
ANCE WITH ORDER OF COURT.

On reading and filing the affidavit of R. J. Murdock, charging the Wasatch Irrigation Company, a corporation, et al, and Thomas Moulton, John F. Ohlwiler, and Wadkin Brirley, all as agents, servants, employees, and persons acting for and in behalf of said Wasatch Irrigation Company with contempt of this Court:

And said affidavit further setting forth that T. F.
Wentz as Commissioner of this court acting under and pursuant
to the decree in Cause No. 2888 Civil, has failed and refused
to have distributed and threatens to continue to refuse to
distribute to said plaintiff, waters which it claims under and
by virtue of said decree, and it further appearing that an
emergency exists with respect to the rights of said plaintiff,
claimed under said decree that cannot be compensated in damages; and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is ordered
that the said defendants Wasatch Irrigation Company, a corporation, Thomas Moulton, John F. Ohlwiler, and Wadkin Brirley
appear before this court in open court, at the court room thereof, at Provo City, Utah County, State of Utah, on Wednesday,
the 18th day of April, A. D. 1934, at the hour of 11:00 O'clock
A. M. to show cause why they and each of them should not be
punished for contempt as in said affidavit alleged; it further
appearing that sufficient cause exists therefor. It is ordered that said Commissioner, T. F. Wentz be, and appear before
this court at the time and place above mentioned with said
definition to show cause why he should not be ordered to divert
and distribute for the with to said plaintiff the said waters
of the said plaintiff and described in said affidavit.

this order be served upon each of the defendants and said Water Commissions. T. Wentz, on this 17th day of April, 1934.

WATKINS AND HOLBROOK

Judge

PROVO.

Clerk